

**DIMACS Technical Report 98-34**  
**July 1998**

On the dimension of the Hilbert-cubes

by

Norbert Hegyvári<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research partially supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research, Grant No. T025617 and by DIMACS (Center for Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science) NSF-STC-91-19999.

---

DIMACS is a partnership of Rutgers University, Princeton University, AT&T Labs-Research, Bell Labs, Bellcore and NEC Research Institute.

DIMACS is an NSF Science and Technology Center, funded under contract STC-91-19999; and also receives support from the New Jersey Commission on Science and Technology.

## ABSTRACT

Let  $A$  be a sequence of positive integers with positive density. Then  $A \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  contains a Hilbert (or combinatorial) cube of dimension  $c \log \log n$ . We prove that this bound can not be replaced by  $c' \sqrt{\log n \log \log n}$ .

# 1. Introduction

In [1] D. Hilbert showed (using different terminology) that for any  $k \geq 1$ , if  $\mathbf{N}$  is finitely colored then there exists in one color infinitely many translates of a  $k$ -cube. We call  $H \subset \mathbf{N}$  a  $k$ -cube if there exist  $a > 0$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$

$$H = H(a, x_1, \dots, x_k) = \left\{ a + \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i x_i : \varepsilon_i = 0 \text{ or } 1 \right\}.$$

This result was essentially the first Ramsey-type theorem. The density version of the Hilbert result is the following:

**Theorem A:** Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer and assume  $A \subset [1, n]$  and

$$|A| \geq n^{1-2^{-k}},$$

where  $\varepsilon \geq 2$ . Then  $A$  contains a  $k$ -cube. (see [2],[3]).

This result implies the following

**Corollary:** Let  $A$  be an infinite sequence of integers with

$$d(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(n)/n > 0,$$

where  $A(n) = \sum_{a_i \leq n} 1$ . Then there exists a  $\beta$  so that for every  $n$ ,  $A \cap [1, n]$  contains a  $k$ -cube where  $k > \beta \log \log n$ .

**Proof of the Corollary:** Indeed there exists a  $c > 0$  such that for every  $n$ ,  $A(n) > cn$ . Now if  $\alpha n^{1-2^{-k}}$  or equivalently if  $k \gg \log \log n$  then by Theorem A,  $A$  contains a  $k$ -cube as we stated.

**Definition:** Let  $A$  be an infinite sequence of integers. Let

$$H(n) = \max\{k : A \cap [1, n] \text{ contains a } k\text{-cube}\}$$

So by the corollary we have if  $\underline{d}(A) > 0$  then  $H(n) \gg \log \log n$ .

The aim of this note to prove

**Theorem:** There exists an infinite sequence  $A$  of positive integers with  $\underline{d}(A) > 0$  and

$$H(n) \leq c \sqrt{\log n \log \log n}$$

where  $c = 6 \cdot (\log(5/4))^{-1/2}$ .

The proof of the theorem is not constructive. A Sárközy and the author investigated the dimension of the Hilbert-cube some “conventional” sequence as well. For instance we prove

if  $Q$  is the sequence of squares then  $H_Q < c' \sqrt[3]{\log n}$  and for the sequence of primes  $P$  we get  $c_1 \cdot \log \log n < H_P(n) < c_2 \cdot \log n$  (see [6]). Finally we mention a related question of P. Erdős which solved by E. Straus (see [5]): is it true if  $A$  is an infinite sequence of integer,  $A$  has positive upper density then  $A$  contains an infinite Hilbert-cube. The answer is negative.

For sequences  $A, B$  of integers let  $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$  and  $D(A) = \{a - a' : a > a', a, a' \in A\}$

## 2. The proof of the theorem

For the proof of the theorem we need some lemmas.

**Lemma 1:** Let  $B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k\}$  be a sequence of integers. Then

$$\binom{k+1}{2} + 1 \leq |\Sigma\{A\}| \leq 2^k.$$

where  $\Sigma\{B\} = \left\{ x : x = \sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i b_i : \epsilon_i = 0 \text{ or } 1, b_i \in B \right\}$ .

The proof is easy or see [4].

**Lemma 2:** We have

$$T = |\{A : A \subseteq [1, n]; |A| = k \text{ and } |\Sigma(A)| < k^3\}| < (kn)^{5 \log_2 k} \cdot 4^{k^2}$$

**Proof of Lemma 2:** Let  $U$  be the event  $U = \{A : A \subseteq [1, n]; |A| = k \text{ and } |\Sigma(A)| < k^3\}$ . Clearly

$$Pr(U) = \frac{T}{\binom{n}{k}} \tag{1}$$

where  $Pr(U)$  is the probability of the event  $U$ .

Now we are going to give an upper bound for  $Pr(U)$ . Let  $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_k\} \subset [1, n]$  and let  $B$  the event that there are at least  $[4 \log_2 k]$  indices  $j$  for which

$$\Sigma\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1}\} \cap \Sigma\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j\} = \{\emptyset\}. \tag{2}$$

In this case

$$|\Sigma\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j\}| = 2|\Sigma\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1}\}| \tag{3}$$

using the fact

$$\Sigma\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j\} = \{a_j\} + \Sigma\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1}\}.$$

So by (3) we have

$$|\Sigma\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}| \geq 2^{[4 \log_2 k]} > k^3.$$

This yields  $U \subset \overline{B}$ , so it is enough to find an upper bound for  $Pr(\overline{B})$ .  $\overline{B}$  holds if there are at most  $\lceil 4 \log_2 k \rceil$  indices  $j$  for which (1) holds. If  $j$  is an index for which (1) does not hold then

$$a_j \in D(\Sigma\{a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}\}). \quad (4)$$

Let  $C_j$  be the event for which (4) holds. Then by Lemma 1

$$Pr(C_j) \leq \frac{|\Sigma\{a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}\}|}{n - (j - 1)} \leq \frac{(2^j)^2}{n - (j - 1)}.$$

Let  $R = \lceil 4 \log_2 k \rceil$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(\overline{B}) &\leq \sum_{1 \leq t_1, \dots, t_s \leq k, s \leq R} \frac{(4^k)^{k-s} (n - t_1) \dots (n - t_s)}{n(n-1) \dots (n-k+1)} \\ &\leq \sum_{s \leq R} \binom{k}{s} \frac{4^{k^2} n^R}{n \dots (n-k+1)} \leq R \frac{(kn)^R 4^{k^2}}{n \dots (n-k+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$T \leq \binom{n}{k} Pr(\overline{B}) \leq (kn)^{R+1} 4^{k^2} \leq (kn)^{5 \log_2 k} 4^{k^2}$$

which proves Lemma 2.

Now we turn to the proof of the Theorem.

**Proof of the Theorem:** Let  $X$  be a random sequence of integers with  $Pr(x \in X) = \frac{1}{25}$ .

Clearly with probability 1 we have  $\underline{d}(X) > 0$ .

Let  $H_n$  be the event  $H_X(n) > c\sqrt{\log n \log \log n}$  where  $c = 6 \cdot (\log 5/4)^{-1/2}$ . We are going to show

$$Pr(H_n) < \frac{1}{n^2} \quad (5)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(H_n) &\leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq n \\ 1 \leq x_1, \dots, x_k \leq n}} \left(\frac{1}{25}\right)^{|\Sigma(x_1, \dots, x_k)|} = \\ &\sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq n \\ 1 \leq x_1, \dots, x_k \leq n, \\ |\Sigma(x_1, \dots, x_k)| < k^3}} \left(\frac{1}{25}\right)^{|\Sigma(x_1, \dots, x_k)|} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq n \\ 1 \leq x_1, \dots, x_k \leq n, \\ |\Sigma(x_1, \dots, x_k)| \geq k^3}} \left(\frac{1}{25}\right)^{|\Sigma(x_1, \dots, x_k)|} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

By Lemma 1 and 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq n \\ 1 \leq x_1, \dots, x_k \leq n, \\ |\sum(x_1, \dots, x_k)| < k^3}} \left(\frac{1}{25}\right)^{|\sum(x_1, \dots, x_k)|} &\leq \sum_{1 \leq a \leq n} (kn)^{5 \log_2 k} \cdot 4^{k^2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{25}\right)^{k^2/2} \\ &= n \cdot (kn)^{5 \log_2 K} \cdot \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{k^2} \end{aligned}$$

which is less than  $1/2n^2$  if  $k \geq 6 \cdot \log(5/4)^{-1/2} \sqrt{\log n \log \log n}$ . Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq n \\ 1 \leq x_1, \dots, x_k \leq n, \\ |\sum(x_1, \dots, x_k)| \geq k^3}} \left(\frac{1}{25}\right)^{|\sum(x_1, \dots, x_k)|} &\leq n \cdot \binom{n}{k} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{25}\right)^{k^3} < \frac{1}{2n^2} \end{aligned}$$

holds if  $k > 3\sqrt{\log_2 n}$ .

By (5) we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Pr(H_n) < \infty$  so that by the Borel-Cantelli lemma with probability 1, at most finite number of events  $H_n$  occur.

This completes the theorem.

**Remarks:** 1. We split the sum in (6) into two parts according the value of  $|\sum(x_1, \dots, x_k)|$ . We mention here for the sets  $A_d = \{d, 2d, \dots, kd\}$ ,  $d = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$  we have

$$|\sum(A_d)| = \binom{k+1}{2} \sim k^2.$$

So we have to count these sets in the first sum which yields that our method works only if  $k > c\sqrt{\log n}$ .

2. There are many pairs  $A$  and  $A'$  for which  $\sum(A) \cap \sum(A')$  contains a fix “big” sets, that is why we use only the trivial inequality  $Pr(\cup A) \leq \sum Pr(A)$ .

### 3. Concluding remarks

In this section we are going to show that for *random* sequence our bound appart from the factor  $\sqrt{\log \log n}$  is the best possible.

We prove

**Proposition:** Let  $A$  be a random sequence of positive integers with  $Pr(a \in A) = p$ . Then with probability 1, we have

$$H_A(n) > c_p \sqrt{\log n}.$$

**Proof of the Proposition:** Let  $0 < p < 1$  be a fixed real number and let  $A$  be a random sequence of integers with  $Pr(a \in A) = p$  and let  $k_n = \max_{a,k} \{k : a + 1, \dots, a + k \in A\}$ . By a theorem of Erdős and Rényi [7], with probability 1  $k_n = c(p) \log n$ . But let us observe that if  $a, a + 1, \dots, a + k \in A$  then  $H(a, 1, 2, \dots, [\sqrt{2k} - 1]) \subset A$ . (Indeed  $H(a, 1, 2, \dots, [\sqrt{2k} - 1]) \supseteq [a, a + 1, \dots, k]$ .) This yields that with probability 1 we have  $H_A(n) > c_p \sqrt{\log n}$ , where  $c_p = \sqrt{2c(p)}$ .

## References

- [1] D. Hilbert: Über die Irreducibilität rationaler Functionen mit ganzzähligen Koeffizienten, J. Reine Angew. Math. 110 (1892), 104–109.
- [2] P. Erdős, A. Sárközy, V.T. Sós: On a conjecture of Roth and related problems I, Algorithms and Combinatorics 8, (Ed. R.L. Graham, B. Körte, Lovász), 47–59.
- [3] R. L. Graham, B. Rothschild, J. Spencer: Ramsey Theory, Wiley Interscience Publications, 1980.
- [4] N. Hegyvári: On representation problems in the additive number theory, Acta Math. Hung. 72 (1-2) 1996, 35–44.
- [5] N. Hindman: Ultrafilters and combinatorial number theory, In: M. Nathanson (ed.) Number Theory Carbonadle. Lecture Notes in Math. 751 119–184.
- [6] N. Hegyvári, A. Sárközy: On the size of a combinatorial cube of certain sets (preprint).
- [7] P. Erdős and A. Rényi: On a new law of large numbers, Journ. Analyse Mathématique 22 (1970) 103–111.

Norbert Hegyvári  
Department of Mathematics,  
ELTE TFK, Eötvös University,  
Budapest, Markó u. 29.  
Hungary, H-1055  
email: NORB@ludens.elte.hu