

# A NOTE ON FREIMAN MODELS IN HEISENBERG GROUPS

NORBERT HEGYVÁRI AND FRANÇOIS HENNECART

ABSTRACT. Green and Ruzsa recently proved that for any  $s \geq 2$ , any small squaring set  $A$  in a (multiplicative) abelian group, i.e.  $|A \cdot A| < K|A|$ , has a Freiman  $s$ -model: it means that there exists a group  $G$  and a Freiman  $s$ -isomorphism from  $A$  into  $G$  such that  $|G| < f(s, K)|A|$ .

In an unpublished note, Green proved that such a result does not necessarily hold in non abelian groups if  $s \geq 64$ . The aim of this paper is improve Green's result by showing that it remains true under the weaker assumption  $s \geq 6$ .

## 1. Introduction

We will use the notation  $|X|$  for the cardinality of any set or group  $X$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  are subsets of a given (multiplicative) group, the product  $X \cdot Y$  or simply  $XY$  denotes the set  $\{xy \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$ . For  $X = Y$  we write  $XY = X^2$ . The set  $X^{-1}$  is formed by all the inverse elements  $x^{-1}$ ,  $x \in X$ .

Let  $s \geq 2$  be an integer and  $A \subset H$  and  $B \subset G$  be subsets of arbitrary (multiplicative) groups. A map  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  is said to be a Freiman  $s$ -homomorphism if for any  $2s$ -tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_s, b_1, \dots, b_s)$  of elements of  $A$  and any signs  $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , we have

$$a_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots a_s^{\epsilon_s} = b_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots b_s^{\epsilon_s} \implies \pi(a_1)^{\epsilon_1} \dots \pi(a_s)^{\epsilon_s} = \pi(b_1)^{\epsilon_1} \dots \pi(b_s)^{\epsilon_s}.$$

Observe that in the case of abelian groups, we may set, without loss of generality, all the signs to  $+1$ . If moreover  $\pi$  is bijective and  $\pi^{-1}$  is also a Freiman  $s$ -homomorphism, then  $\pi$  is called a Freiman  $s$ -isomorphism from  $A$  into  $G$ . In this case,  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be Freiman  $s$ -isomorphic.

Green and Ruzsa proved in [2] that a structural result holds for small squaring sets in an abelian (multiplicative) group. The key argument in their proof is Proposition 1.2 of [2] asserting that any small squaring finite set  $A$  in an abelian group has a good Freiman model, that is a relatively small finite group  $G$  and a Freiman  $s$ -isomorphism from  $A$  into  $G$ . More precisely, they showed the following effective result:

---

*Date:* September 12, 2010.

Research is partially supported by OTKA grants K 67676, K 81658 and Balaton Program Project.

Let  $s \geq 2$  and  $K > 1$ . There exists a constant  $f(s, K) = (10sK)^{10K^2}$  such that  $A$  is a subset of an abelian group  $H$  satisfying the small squaring property  $|A \cdot A| < K|A|$ , then there exists an abelian group  $G$  such that  $|G| < f(s, K)|A|$  and  $A$  is Freiman  $s$ -isomorphic to a subset of  $G$ .

It is not difficult to see that this result cannot be literally extended to nonabelian groups by considering a set  $A$  such that  $|A \cdot A|/|A|$  is small and  $|A \cdot A \cdot A|/|A|$  is large (see [6, page 94] for such an example). However it is known (by combining [4, section 1.11] and [6, Proposition 2.40]) that if  $|A \cdot A|/|A| \leq K$  then for any  $n$ -tuple of signs  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ , we have  $|X^{\epsilon_1} \cdot X^{\epsilon_2} \dots X^{\epsilon_n}|/|X| \leq K^{O(n)}$  for some large subset  $X$  of  $A$  satisfying  $|X| \geq |A|/2$ . Despite this fact, the existenceness of a good Freiman  $s$ -model for some large subset of an arbitrary set  $A_0$  satisfying the small squaring property  $|A_0 \cdot A_0| < 2|A_0|$  is not guaranteed. Indeed in his unpublished note [3], Green gave an example of such a set  $A_0$  with arbitrarily large cardinality and the following property: let  $s \geq 64$  and  $\delta = 1/23$ ; then for any  $A \subset A_0$  with  $|A| \geq |A_0|^{1-\delta}$  and any finite group  $G$  such that there is a Freiman  $s$ -isomorphism from  $A$  into  $G$ , we have  $|G| \geq |A|^{1+\delta}$ . There is no doubt from his proof that the admissible range for  $s$  could be somewhat improved ( $s \geq 32$  is seemingly the best range that can be read from his proof).

Our aim is to improve Green's result by showing:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n$  be any positive integer and  $\varepsilon$  be any positive real number. Then there exists a finite (nonabelian) group  $H$  and a subset  $A_0$  in  $H$  with the following properties:*

- i)  $|A_0| > n$  and  $|A_0 \cdot A_0| < 2|A_0|$ ;
- ii) *For any  $A \subset A_0$  with  $|A| \geq |A_0|^{43/44}$  and for any finite group  $G$  such that there exists a Freiman 6-isomorphism from  $A$  onto  $G$ , we have  $|G| \geq |A|^{33/32-\varepsilon}$ .*

Our proof in Section 4 is partially based on Green's approach but also includes new materials. It exploits arguments coming from group theory and Fourier analysis with additional tools, e.g. a recent incidence theorem due to Vinh [7]. It also needs some additional combinatorial arguments.

In Section 3, we include for comparison the proof of a weaker statement that does not use the new materials, but which optimizes, in some sense, Green's ideas.

Let  $p$  be a prime number and  $\mathbb{F}$  the fields with  $p$  elements. We denote by  $H$  the Heisenberg linear group over  $\mathbb{F}$  consisting of the upper triangular matrices

$$[x, y, z] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}.$$

We recall the product rule in  $H$ :

$$[x, y, z] \cdot [x', y', z'] = [x + x', y + y', xy' + z + z'].$$

As shown in [3], this group provides an example of a nonabelian group in which there exists some subset  $A_0$  with small *squaring* property, namely  $|A_0^2| < 2|A_0|$ , and not having a good Freiman model. That is there is no *relatively big* isomorphic image of  $A_0$  by a Freiman  $s$ -isomorphism with a given  $s$  in any group  $G$ . We will also use the Heisenberg group in order to derive our results.

The proof of Theorem 1 goes in the following manner. We will show that: firstly there exists a non trivial  $p$ -subgroup in the subgroup generated by  $\pi(A)$  in  $G$ ; secondly any element in  $\pi^{-1}(G)$  is the product of at most 6 elements from  $A$  or  $A^{-1}$ . The rest of the proof is based on some group-theoretical properties which are mainly taken from [3].

As indicated in [3], there is no hope to obtain an optimal result by this approach, namely a similar result with  $s_0 = 2$ .

## 2. Some properties of finite nilpotent groups and of the Heisenberg group $H$

For any group  $G$ , we denote by  $1_G$  the identity element of  $G$ . Thus  $[0, 0, 0] = 1_H$ .

We will use the following partially classical properties:

1.  $H$  is a two-step nilpotent group (or nilpotent of class two). Indeed, the commutator of  $a_1 = [x_1, y_1, z_1] \in H$  and  $a_2 = [x_2, y_2, z_2] \in H$  denoted by  $[a_1; a_2]$  is equal to

$$[a_1; a_2] = a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} = [0, 0, x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1].$$

For any  $a_3 = [x_3, y_3, z_3] \in H$ , we obtain

$$[[a_1; a_2]; a_3] = [0, 0, 0] = 1_H,$$

for the double commutator. Hence the result.

2. Any finite nilpotent group is the direct product of its Sylow subgroups (see 6.4.14 of [5]).
3. Any finite  $p$ -group of order  $p$  or  $p^2$  is abelian (see 6.3.5 of [5]).

4. Assume that  $A \subset H$  and  $\pi$  is a Freiman  $s$ -homomorphism from  $A$  into  $G$  with  $s \geq 5$ . We denote by  $\langle \pi(A) \rangle$  the subgroup generated by  $\pi(A)$ . Then  $\langle \pi(A) \rangle$  is a two-step nilpotent group. Indeed, for any  $a, b, c \in A$ , one has

$$aba^{-1}b^{-1}c = caba^{-1}b^{-1}$$

since  $H$  is a nilpotent group of class *two*. Hence

$$\pi(a)\pi(b)\pi(a)^{-1}\pi(b)^{-1}\pi(c) = \pi(c)\pi(a)\pi(b)\pi(a)^{-1}\pi(b)^{-1}$$

since  $\pi$  is a Freiman  $s$ -homomorphism with  $s \geq 5$ . It thus follows that double commutators satisfy  $[[a_1; b_1]; c_1] = 1_G$  for any  $a_1, b_1, c_1 \in \pi(A)$ . In [3], the author observed from a direct argument that it remains true for any  $a_1, b_1, c_1 \in \langle \pi(A) \rangle$ : since  $\langle \pi(A) \rangle$  is finite, the result will follow from the next lemma (cf. [3]).

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be any group and  $X$  a maximal subset of  $\Gamma$  such that*

$$(1) \quad [[a; b]; c] = 1_\Gamma, \quad \text{for any } a, b, c \in X.$$

*Then  $X$  is closed under multiplication.*

For the sake of completeness we include the proof which is in the same way as in [3].

*Proof.* By (1) and the following identity

$$(2) \quad [xy; z] = [x; [y; z]] \cdot [y; z] \cdot [x; z], \quad x, y, z \in \Gamma,$$

we obtain for any  $a, b, c, d \in X$ ,  $[[ab; c]; d] = [[b; c] \cdot [a; c]; d]$ . Applying again (2) with  $x = [b; c]$ ,  $y = [a; c]$  and  $z = c$ , yields in view of (1),

$$(3) \quad [[ab; c]; d] = 1_\Gamma, \quad \text{for any } a, b, c, d \in X.$$

By a further application of (2) with  $x = a$ ,  $y = b$  and  $z = [ab; c]$ , we get by (3)  $[ab; [ab; c]] = 1_\Gamma$  for any  $a, b, c \in X$ . By the maximal property of  $X$ , we obtain  $ab \in X$  for any  $a, b \in X$ .  $\square$

### 3. Approach of the proof with a slightly weaker result

Before proving our main result, we explain the principle of the approach by showing the following weaker result in which only Freiman  $s$ -isomorphisms with  $s \geq 7$  are considered.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $\theta$  be a real number such that*

$$\frac{11}{12} \leq \theta \leq 1$$

and let

$$\varphi_\theta = \frac{12\theta - 9}{2}.$$

Then there exists a finite group  $H$  and a subset  $A_0$  in  $H$  satisfying the following properties:

- i)  $|A_0| > n$  and  $|A_0 \cdot A_0| < 2|A_0|$ ;
- ii) For any  $A \subset A_0$  with  $|A| \geq |A_0|^\theta$  and for any finite group  $G$  such that there exists a Freiman 7-isomorphism from  $A$  onto  $G$ , we have  $|G| \geq |A|^{\varphi_\theta}$ .

For  $\theta = 13/14$ , it yields the following corollary which can be compared to Theorem 1:

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $n$  be any positive integer. Then there exists a finite group  $H$  and a subset  $A_0$  in  $H$  satisfying the following properties:*

- i)  $|A_0| > n$  and  $|A_0 \cdot A_0| < 2|A_0|$ ;
- ii) For any  $A \subset A_0$  with  $|A| \geq |A_0|^{13/14}$  and for any finite group  $G$  such that there exists a Freiman 7-isomorphism from  $A$  onto  $G$ , we have  $|G| \geq |A|^{15/14}$ .

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $A_0$  be the subset of  $H$

$$(4) \quad A_0 := \{[x, y, z] \mid (x, y, z) \in [0, p^\alpha] \times \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}\}.$$

For  $p$  large enough, we plainly have

$$|A_0 \cdot A_0| = 2|A_0| - p^2,$$

thus  $A_0$  is a small squaring subset of  $H$ .

Let  $\theta$  be such that  $0 < \theta \leq 1$ , on which an additional assumption will be given later. Let  $A$  be any subset of  $A_0$  whose cardinality satisfies

$$(5) \quad |A| \geq |A_0|^\theta.$$

By an averaging argument, there exists  $x_0, y_0, z_0, z'_0, u, v \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $X, Y, Z \subset \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$(6) \quad [X, y_0, z_0] \cup [x_0, Y, z'_0] \cup [u, v, Z] \subset A$$

$$(7) \quad |X| \geq \frac{|A|}{p^2}, \quad |Y| \geq \frac{|A|}{p^{1+\alpha}}, \quad |Z| \geq \frac{|A|}{p^{1+\alpha}}.$$

Observe that  $|X||Y||Z|^2 \geq p^3$  if

$$(8) \quad |A| \geq p^{(8+3\alpha)/4},$$

which holds true if we fix  $\alpha$  such that

$$(9) \quad \theta = \frac{8 + 3\alpha}{8 + 4\alpha},$$

that is

$$(10) \quad \alpha = \frac{8(1 - \theta)}{4\theta - 3},$$

assuming that the following condition on  $\theta$  holds:

$$\theta \geq \frac{11}{12}.$$

Let  $a = [x, y_0, z_0]$ ,  $b = [x_0, y, z'_0]$ . These are elements of  $A$ . Moreover the commutator of  $a$  and  $b$  is

$$aba^{-1}b^{-1} = [0, 0, xy - x_0y_0].$$

Let  $c = [u, v, z]$  and  $d = [u, v, z']$  in  $[u, v, Z] \subset A$ . We thus have

$$aba^{-1}b^{-1}cd^{-1} = [0, 0, xy + z - z' - x_0y_0].$$

For any element  $t$  in  $\mathbb{F}$ , let  $N(t)$  be the number of representations of  $t$  under the form

$$t = xy + z - z' - x_0y_0, \quad x \in X, \quad y \in Y, \quad z, z' \in Z.$$

One has

$$N(t) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{h=0}^{p-1} \sum_{\substack{x \in X \\ y \in Y \\ z, z' \in Z}} e\left(\frac{h(xy - x_0y_0 + z - z' - t)}{p}\right),$$

where  $e(\alpha)$  is the usual notation for  $\exp(2i\pi\alpha)$ . We get

$$N(t) \geq \frac{|X||Y||Z|^2}{p} - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{h=1}^{p-1} |S(h)||T(h)|^2,$$

where

$$S(h) = \sum_{(x,y) \in X \times Y} e\left(\frac{hxy}{p}\right), \quad T(h) = \sum_{z \in Z} e\left(\frac{hz}{p}\right).$$

By Vinogradov's inequality

$$|S(h)| \leq \sqrt{p|X||Y|} \quad (\text{if } p \nmid h)$$

and Parseval's identity

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{h=1}^p |T(h)|^2 = |Z|,$$

we deduce the lower bound

$$N(t) > \frac{|X||Y||Z|^2}{p} - \sqrt{p|X||Y|}|Z|.$$

Hence by (10),  $N(t)$  is positive. We thus deduce

$$[0, 0, \mathbb{F}] \subset B := A^2 A^{-2} A A^{-1}.$$

Let  $G$  be any finite group and  $\pi$  any Freiman  $s$ -isomorphism from  $A$  into  $G$ . Our goal is to show that  $|G|$  is big compared to  $|A|$ . We thus may assume that  $G = \langle \pi(A) \rangle$ .

We assume in the sequel that  $s \geq 7$ . We start from the property that is proven just above:

$$\pi([0, 0, \mathbb{F}]) \subset \pi(B).$$

For any  $z \in \mathbb{F}$ , we let

$$g_z = \pi([0, 0, z]).$$

If  $h = \pi([u, v, w]) \in \pi(A)$ , then for  $s \geq 7$  we have

$$(11) \quad \pi([-u, -v, uv - w + z]) = \pi([u, v, w]^{-1}[0, 0, z]) = h^{-1}g_z = g_z h^{-1}.$$

We now show that for some  $i \neq j$ ,

$$g_{\lambda(i-j)} = g_{(\lambda-1)(i-j)} g_{i-j}, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq p.$$

Since  $[u, v, Z] \subset A$  and  $|Z| > 1$  by (7) and (8),  $A$  contains at least two distinct elements  $[u, v, i]$  and  $[u, v, j]$ . We denote  $h_k = \pi([u, v, k])$  for  $k = i, j$ . Since  $\pi$  is a Freiman  $s$ -isomorphism from  $A$  into  $G$  and  $s \geq 7$ , we get  $h_j^{-1}h_i = g_{i-j}$  and by a similar calculation as in (11)

$$g_{(\lambda+1)(i-j)} h_i^{-1} = g_{\lambda(i-j)} h_j^{-1},$$

hence

$$g_{(\lambda+1)(i-j)} = g_{\lambda(i-j)+j} h_j^{-1} h_i = g_{\lambda(i-j)} g_{i-j}.$$

We deduce by induction

$$g_{\lambda(i-j)} = g_{i-j}^\lambda, \quad \text{for any } \lambda \geq 1.$$

Thus the order of  $g_{i-j}$  in  $G$  is either 0 or  $p$ . Since  $s \geq 2$ , we have  $h_i \neq h_j$  hence  $g_{i-j} = h_j^{-1}h_i \neq 1_G$ . This shows that  $g_{i-j}$  is of order  $p$  in  $G$ . We then deduce that  $p$  divides the order of  $G$ .

Let  $G_p$  be the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $s \geq 5$  and  $H$  is a two-step nilpotent group,  $G$  is also a two-step nilpotent group by Property 4 of Section 2. Then by Property 2 of Section 2,  $G$  can be written as the direct product  $G = G_p \times K$ . The projection  $\sigma$  of  $G$  onto  $G_p$  is a homomorphism thus  $\tilde{\pi} = \sigma \circ \pi$  is a Freiman  $s$ -homomorphism. Since for  $z \neq 0$ ,  $h_z$  has order  $p$  in  $G$ ,  $\sigma(h_z)$  has also order  $p$  in  $G_p$ .

Let  $a_1 = [x_1, y_1, z_1]$  and  $a_2 = [x_2, y_2, z_2]$  be any elements in  $A$ . We have  $a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} = [0, 0, x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1]$ . If  $G_p$  were abelian we would obtain by using  $s \geq 4$

$$1_G = \tilde{\pi}(a_1) \tilde{\pi}(a_2) \tilde{\pi}(a_1)^{-1} \tilde{\pi}(a_2)^{-1} = \tilde{\pi}(a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1}) = \tilde{\pi}([0, 0, x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1]) = \sigma(g_{x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1}),$$

hence  $x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1 = 0$ . We would conclude that  $|A| \leq p^2$ , a contradiction by the fact that  $|A| \geq |A_0|^\theta \geq p^{(2+\alpha)\theta} > p^2$  by (9).

Consequently by Property 3 given in Section 2,  $G_p$  is not abelian and  $|G_p| \geq p^3$ . Finally

$$|G| \geq p^3 = |A_0|^{3/(2+\alpha)} \geq |A|^{(12\theta-9)/2}.$$

The proof of Theorem 3 finishes by choosing the prime  $p$  large enough in order to have  $|A_0| > n$ .

#### 4. Proof of the main result Theorem 1

Again,  $A_0$  denotes the set

$$A_0 = \{[x, y, z] : 0 \leq x < p^\alpha, y, z \in \mathbb{F}\},$$

and  $A$  any subset of  $A_0$  such that  $|A| \geq |A_0|^\theta$ . The parameters  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  will be specified below. Again, we have  $|A_0| \geq p^{2+\alpha}$  thus

$$(12) \quad |A| \geq p^{(2+\alpha)\theta}.$$

We recall that there exist  $x_0, y_0, z_0, z'_0, u, v \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $X, Y, Z \subset \mathbb{F}$  such that :

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} & [X, y_0, z_0] \cup [x_0, Y, z'_0] \cup [u, v, Z] \subset A \\ & |X| \geq \frac{|A|}{p^2}, \quad |Y| \geq \frac{|A|}{p^{1+\alpha}}, \quad |Z| \geq \frac{|A|}{p^{1+\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $(x, y, z) \in X \times Y \times Z$ , one has

$$[x, y_0, z_0][x_0, y, z'_0][x, y_0, z_0]^{-1}[x_0, y, z'_0]^{-1}[u, v, z] = [u, v, xy + z - x_0 y_0].$$

Our first goal is to show that  $[u, v, t]$  is in  $A^2 A^{-2} A$  except for  $t$  belonging to a small subset  $E$  of exceptions.

**First step:** For any  $t$  in  $\mathbb{F}$ , let  $r(t)$  be the number of triples  $(x, y, z) \in X \times Y \times Z$  such that

$$t = xy + z - x_0 y_0.$$

One cannot prove that  $r(t) > 0$  for any  $t$ . Nevertheless, we will show that except for a small part of elements  $t$ , this property holds. Let  $C$  be the set of those elements of  $t$  for which



$r(t) > 0$ . Then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$(14) \quad |C| \geq \frac{(|X||Y||Z|)^2}{\sum_t r(t)^2}.$$

Furthermore  $\sum_t r(t)^2$  coincides with the number of solutions of

$$xy + z = x'y' + z', \quad x, x' \in X, \quad y, y' \in Y, \quad z, z' \in Z.$$

If we fix  $x = x_1$ ,  $x' = x'_1$  and  $z' = z'_1$ , it gives the equation of an hyperplan  $D_{x_1, x'_1, z'_1}$  in  $\mathbb{F}^3$  :

$$x_1y - x'_1y' + z - z'_1 = 0.$$

All these hyperplanes are different and there are  $|X|^2|Z|$  such hyperplanes. The possible number of points  $(y, y', z) \in Y \times Y \times Z$  is  $|Y|^2|Z|$ .

In [7], L.A. Vinh established a Szemerédi-Trotter type result by obtaining an incidence inequality for points and hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{F}^d$ . It is connected to the Expander Mixing Lemma (see Corollary 9.2.5 in [1]). We have:

**Lemma 5** (L.A. Vinh [7]). *Let  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of points in  $\mathbb{F}^d$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  be a set of hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{F}^d$ . Then*

$$|\{(P, D) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{H} : P \in D\}| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{P}||\mathcal{H}|}{p} + (1 + o(1))p^{(d-1)/2}(|\mathcal{P}||\mathcal{H}|)^{1/2}.$$

By this result with  $d = 3$ , we get for any large  $p$

$$\sum_t r(t)^2 \leq \frac{(|X||Y||Z|)^2}{p} + 2p|X||Y||Z|,$$

which yields by (14)

$$|C| \geq p - \frac{2p^3}{|X||Y||Z|}.$$

Thus the set  $E$  of exceptions  $t \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $r(t) = 0$  has cardinality

$$(15) \quad |E| \leq \frac{2p^3}{|X||Y||Z|}.$$

**Second step:** We fix  $z_1$  any element in  $Z$  and let  $Z_1 = Z \setminus \{z_1\}$ . For any  $z \in Z_1$ , we denote

$$m(z) = \max\{m \leq p : z_1 + j(z - z_1) \notin E, \quad 2 \leq j \leq m\}$$

if the maximum exists and we let  $m(z) = p$  otherwise. Let

$$(16) \quad T = \left\lceil \frac{|Z_1|}{2|E|} \right\rceil$$

If we denote by  $Z'_1$  the set of the elements  $z \in Z_1$  with  $m(z) \leq T$ , then

$$|Z'_1| = \sum_{m \leq T} |\{z \in Z_1 : m(z) = m\}| \leq \sum_{m \leq T} |E| \leq \frac{|Z_1|}{2},$$

since  $m = m(z)$  implies  $z_1 + (m + 1)(z - z_1) \in E$ . It follows that  $m(z) > T$  for at least one half of the elements  $z$  in  $Z_1$ . We denote by  $\tilde{Z}_1$  the set of those elements  $z$ . We have

$$(17) \quad |\tilde{Z}_1| \geq \frac{|A|}{2p^{1+\alpha}}.$$

**Lemma 6.** *Assume that  $23/24 < \theta \leq 1$  and let  $\gamma$  be a positive real number such that*

$$(18) \quad \gamma < \frac{2(2 + \alpha)\theta - (3 + 2\alpha)}{3}.$$

*If  $|E| < p^\gamma$ , then there exists an integer  $t$  with  $1 \leq t \leq T$  and two distinct elements  $z, z' \in \tilde{Z}_1$  such that*

$$(19) \quad z' - z \notin E - E \quad \text{and} \quad z' = z_1 + t(z - z_1)$$

*Proof.* For  $1 \leq t \leq T$ , we denote by  $s(t)$  the number of pairs  $z, z'$  of elements of  $\tilde{Z}_1$  with the required property. It is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T s(t) > 0.$$

This sum can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{p} \sum_{0 \leq |h| \leq p/2} \sum_{\substack{z, z' \in -z_1 + \tilde{Z}_1 \\ z' - z \notin E - E}} e\left(\frac{h(z^{-1}z' - t)}{p}\right).$$

The contribution related to  $h = 0$  is plainly bigger than

$$\frac{T}{p} (|\tilde{Z}_1|^2 - |\tilde{Z}_1||E - E|),$$

thus

$$\sum_{t=1}^T s(t) \geq \frac{T}{p} (|\tilde{Z}_1|^2 - |\tilde{Z}_1||E - E|) - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{0 < |h| < p/2} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T e\left(\frac{-th}{p}\right) \right| \left| \sum_{\substack{z, z' \in -z_1 + \tilde{Z}_1 \\ z' - z \notin E - E}} e\left(\frac{hz^{-1}z'}{p}\right) \right|.$$

By extending the summation over  $z$  and  $z'$ , we obtain for any  $h \neq 0$

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{z, z' \in -z_1 + \tilde{Z}_1 \\ z' - z \notin E - E}} e\left(\frac{hz^{-1}z'}{p}\right) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{z, z' \in -z_1 + \tilde{Z}_1} e\left(\frac{hz^{-1}z'}{p}\right) \right| + |\tilde{Z}_1||E - E|,$$

which is less than or equals to

$$(\sqrt{p} + |E - E|)|\tilde{Z}_1|$$

by using Vinogradov's inequality for the estimation of the sum over  $z$  and  $z'$ . Hence by the bounds

$$\left| \sum_{t=1}^T e\left(\frac{-ht}{p}\right) \right| \leq \frac{p}{2|h|} \quad \text{for } 0 < |h| < p/2,$$

and

$$\sum_{h=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1}{h} \leq \ln p,$$

we get

$$\sum_{t=1}^T s(t) \geq \frac{T}{p} (|\tilde{Z}_1|^2 - |\tilde{Z}_1||E - E|) - (\sqrt{p} + |E - E|)|\tilde{Z}_1| \ln p.$$

From the trivial bound  $|E - E| \leq |E|^2$  and by (16) and (17), this sum is positive whenever  $|E| \leq p^\gamma$  for  $p$  is large enough, where  $\gamma$  is any positive number such that

$$(20) \quad \gamma < \min \left( \frac{(2 + \alpha)\theta - (1 + \alpha)}{2}; \frac{4(2 + \alpha)\theta - (7 + 4\alpha)}{2}; \frac{2(2 + \alpha)\theta - (3 + 2\alpha)}{3} \right).$$

The second argument in this minimum is less than or equal to the first since  $\theta \leq 1$  and the third is less than the second since  $\theta > 23/24$ . Thus condition (20) reduces to (18), and the lemma follows.  $\square$

By (13) and (15), we deduce from the lemma that the condition

$$7 + 2\alpha - 3(2 + \alpha)\theta < \frac{2(2 + \alpha)\theta - (3 + 2\alpha)}{3},$$

is sufficient in order to ensure that system (19) has at least one solution, assuming  $p$  is large enough. This condition reduces to

$$\theta > \frac{24 + 8\alpha}{22 + 11\alpha}$$

or equivalently

$$(21) \quad \alpha > \alpha_0(\theta) := \frac{24 - 22\theta}{11\theta - 8}.$$

Since  $\alpha < 1$ , we must choose  $\theta$  such that  $\theta > \frac{32}{33}$ . Fixing

$$(22) \quad \alpha = \alpha_0(\theta) + \varepsilon,$$

this yields

$$(23) \quad p^3 \geq |A|^{3/(2+\alpha)} \geq |A|^{3(11\theta-8)/8-\varepsilon},$$

for any  $p \geq p_0(\varepsilon)$ . For  $\theta = 43/44$ , it will give the desired exponents in Theorem 1.

**Third step:** We have at our disposal  $z_1, z \in Z$  and  $t \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$(24) \quad z_1 + j(z - z_1) \notin E, \quad j = 2, \dots, t, \quad \text{and} \quad z_1 + t(z - z_1) \in Z.$$

Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow G$ , where  $G$  is a finite group, be a Freiman 6-isomorphism. As in the proof of Theorem 3, we will show that  $p$  divides  $|G|$  and that the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$  cannot be abelian. It will ensure the bound  $|G| \geq p^3$  and the theorem will follow by (23).

Let

$$(25) \quad h = \pi([0, 0, z - z_1]) = \pi([u, v, z_1])^{-1} \pi([u, v, z]).$$

Let us show that for any  $j$  such that  $j(z - z_1) + z_1 \notin E$ , we have  $\pi([0, 0, j(z - z_1)]) = h^j$ .

If  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , we proceed by induction: for  $j = 1$ , the property is plainly true. Let  $2 \leq j \leq t$ . We have

$$\pi([u, v, j(z - z_1) + z_1][u, v, z]^{-1}) = \pi([u, v, (j - 1)(z - z_1) + z_1][u, v, z_1]^{-1}).$$

By (24) and by definition of  $E$ , both elements  $[u, v, (j - 1)(z - z_1) + z_1]$  and  $[u, v, j(z - z_1) + z_1]$  belong to  $A^2A^{-2}A$ . Moreover  $[u, v, z], [u, v, z_1] \in A$  hence, by the fact that  $\pi$  is a Freiman 6-homomorphism, we get

$$\pi([u, v, j(z - z_1) + z_1])\pi([u, v, z]^{-1}) = \pi([u, v, (j - 1)(z - z_1) + z_1])\pi([u, v, z_1]^{-1}).$$

Thus, by (25)

$$\pi([u, v, j(z - z_1) + z_1]) = \pi([u, v, (j - 1)(z - z_1) + z_1])h.$$

By multiplying on the left by  $\pi([u, v, z_1])^{-1}$  and using again that  $\pi$  is a Freiman 6-homomorphism, we get

$$\pi([0, 0, j(z - z_1)]) = \pi([0, 0, (j - 1)(z - z_1)])h = h^j$$

by the induction hypothesis.

For larger  $j$ , we again induct: let  $j > t$  be such that  $j(z - z_1) + z_1 \notin E$ . Then at least one of the two elements  $(j - 1)(z - z_1) + z_1$  or  $(j - t)(z - z_1) + z_1$  is not in  $E$  since  $z' - z \notin E - E$ .

If  $(j - 1)(z - z_1) + z_1 \notin E$  we argue by induction as above. If  $(j - t)(z - z_1) + z_1 \notin E$  we slightly modify the argument: since

$$\pi([u, v, j(z - z_1) + z_1][u, v, t(z - z_1) + z_1]^{-1}) = \pi([u, v, (j - t)(z - z_1) + z_1][u, v, z_1]^{-1})$$

and  $\pi$  a Freiman 6-isomorphism, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi([u, v, j(z - z_1) + z_1]) &= \pi([u, v, (j - t)(z - z_1) + z_1])\pi([u, v, z_1])^{-1}\pi([u, v, t(z - z_1) + z_1]) \\ &= \pi([u, v, (j - t)(z - z_1) + z_1])h^t, \end{aligned}$$

and finally by induction

$$\pi([0, 0, j(z - z_1)]) = \pi([u, v, (j - t)(z - z_1) + z_1])h^t = h^{j-t}h^t = h^j.$$

Since  $z_1 \notin E$ , we obtain  $h^p = 1$  in  $G$ , thus either  $h = 1$  or  $h$  has order  $p$ . But  $z \neq z_1$  hence  $[0, 0, z - z_1] = [u, v, z][u, v, z_1]^{-1} \neq 1_H$ , hence  $h \neq 1_G$  since  $\pi$  is a Freiman 6-isomorphism.

We deduce that  $G$  admits an element of order  $p$ , thus the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup  $G_p$  of  $G$  is not

trivial. By considering the canonical homomorphism  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G_p$ ,  $\tilde{\pi} = \sigma \circ \pi$  is a Freiman 6-homomorphism of  $A$  onto  $G_p$ . Hence for any  $a = [x, y, z]$  and  $b = [x', y', z']$  in  $A$

$$[\tilde{\pi}(a); \tilde{\pi}(b)] = \tilde{\pi}([a; b]) = \tilde{\pi}([0, 0, xy' - x'y])$$

which must be equal to  $1_G$  if  $G_p$  is assumed to be abelian. It would mean that  $(x, y)$  belongs to a single line for any  $[x, y, z] \in A$ , giving  $|A| \leq p^2$  a contradiction to

$$\frac{\ln |A|}{\ln p} \geq \theta(2 + \alpha) > \theta(2 + \alpha_0(\theta)) = \frac{8\theta}{11\theta - 8} > 2,$$

obtained by (12), (21) and (22).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Alon, N.; Spencer J.; The probabilistic method, 2nd edition. Wiley Interscience, 2000.
- [2] Green, B.; Ruzsa, I. Z.; Freiman's theorem in an arbitrary abelian group. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **75** (2007), no. 1, 163–175.
- [3] Green, B.; A note on Freiman models (2008). Unpublished note available on <http://www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~bjg23/notes.html>
- [4] Ruzsa, I. Z.; Sumsets and structure. Combinatorial number theory and additive group theory, 87–210, Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona, Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.
- [5] Scott, W. R.; Group theory. Second edition. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1987. xiv+479 pp.
- [6] Tao, T.; Vu V. H.; Additive combinatorics. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 105. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. xviii+512 pp.
- [7] Vinh L.A., Szemerédi–Trotter type theorem and sum-product estimate in finite fields, arXiv:0711.4427v1[CO].

NORBERT HEGYVÁRI, ELTE TTK, EÖTVÖS UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, H-1117 PÁZMÁNY ST. 1/C, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

*E-mail address:* `hegyvari@elte.hu`

FRANÇOIS HENNECART, PRES UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON, UNIVERSITÉ JEAN-MONNET, LAMUSE, 23 RUE MICHELON, 42023 SAINT-ÉTIENNE, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `francois.hennecart@univ-st-etienne.fr`